



Telefax: 040-23393206  
:04023378549

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS

REGIONAL OFFICE;  
Quality Control Building,  
Errum Manzil,  
Hyderabad-500082  
Dated:16.12.2019

No.RW/HYD/Misc-24(D)/utility services/Hyd/1639

Notice Inviting Public Comments on Laying of OFC along NH-163 from  
Km 109.000 to Km 125.000

Sub: Proposal for laying of OFC by M/s Dinesh Engineers limited from km 109.000 to 125.000 along Hyderabad-Bijapur road section of NH-163 in the state of Telangana- Reg

- Ref: i) The Superintending Engineer (R&B), NH Circle, Hyderabad letter No. 814/TS/T4/SENH/Hyd/2019-20/356 dated 02.07.2019.  
ii) The Superintending Engineer (R&B), N.H. Circle, Hyderabad letter No.3416/TS/T3/SENH/Hyd/2019/830 dated 04.12.2019

The Superintending Engineer (R&B), NH Circle, Hyderabad vide letter cited under reference (i) & (ii) has submitted a Proposal for laying of OFC from km 109.000 to 125.000 along Hyderabad-Bijapur road section of NH-163 in the State of Telanagana.

2. The proposal has been examined as per Ministry Guidelines vide letter no.RW/NH-33044/29/2015/S&R(R) dated 22.11.2016 and is generally found in order
3. In view of above, as per above Ministry's guidelines Para 4, public comments are invited on the above proposal seeking claims and objections (on grounds of public inconvenience, safety and general public interest) within 30 days on public portal i.e. website of Ministry of Road Transport and Highways ([www.morth.nic.in](http://www.morth.nic.in)) in Form-A (copy enclosed) for "Accommodation of Public and Industrial Utility Services along and across National Highways".

Comment Inviting Authority

The Chief-Engineer-Regional Officer  
Regional Office Hyderabad  
Quality Control Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor,  
Errum Manzil Colony  
Hyderabad - 500082  
Email Id: rohyd-morth@gov.in

Yours faithfully,

—sd—

(Tatineni Ugesh)

Asst. Executive Engineer

For Regional Officer cum Highway Administrator



Copy to:-

- I. Senior Technical Director, NIC, Transport Bhawan, New Delhi- 110001 for uploading on Ministry's website.
- II. The Superintending Engineer (R&B), NH Circle, Hyderabad- For information.

*ugesh*  
16/12/2019  
(Tatineni Ugesh)

Asst. Executive Engineer

For Regional Officer cum Highway Administrator



**FORM-A**

Form for seeking claims and objections (on grounds of public inconvenience, safety and general public interest) on the application for Accommodation of Public and Industrial Utility Services along and across National Highways

Sub: Proposal for laying of OFC by M/s Dinesh Engineers limited from km 109.000 to 125.000 along Hyderabad-Bijapur road section of NH-163 in the state of Telangana- Reg

The claims and objections (on grounds of public inconvenience, safety and general public interest) by the general public needs to be given within 30 days of uploading the online application for comments

| Sl. No | Item                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Details |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1      | Name of the person who is desiring to give claims and objections (on grounds of public inconvenience, safety and general public interest)                                                                                                  |         |
| 2      | Address of the person                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |         |
| 3      | Details of the application for Accommodation of Public and Industrial Utility Services along and across National Highways against which claims and objections are being given (name of applicant and other details like site address etc.) |         |
|        | a) Application No.                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |         |
|        | b) Name of applicant ( who applied to Accommodation of Public and Industrial Utility Services along and across National Highways)                                                                                                          |         |
|        | c) Details of Application                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |         |
| 4      | The claims and objections (on grounds of public inconvenience, safety and general public interest)                                                                                                                                         |         |


Sub: Proposal for permission for laying OFC by M/s.Dinesh Engineers Limited from Km 109.000-125.000 along the road of Hyderabad-Bijapur Road Section of National Highway -163 in the State of Telangana

SPECIAL ANNEXURE FORM TO ACCOMPANY PROPOSALS SUCH AS LAYING OF Utility SERVICES on NATIONAL HIGHWAYS:

|       |                                                             |                     |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | Type of Utility                                             | Optical Fibre Cable |
| 1A    | In case any other at Sl. No. 1, Mention the type of utility | NA                  |
| 2     | Category of Utility                                         | Public              |
| 3     | Status of Permission                                        |                     |
| 3A    | Directorate of Electricity                                  | Not Applicable      |
| 3A(1) | In Case applicable Whether Copy Enclosed or Not             | NA                  |
| 3B    | Chief Controller of Explosives.                             | Not Applicable      |
| 3B(1) | In Case applicable Whether Copy Enclosed or Not             | NA                  |
| 3B    | Petroleum and Explosive safety Organization                 | Not Applicable      |
| 3B(1) | In Case applicable Whether Copy Enclosed or Not             | NA                  |
| 3B    | Oil Industry Safety Directorate                             | Not Applicable      |
| 3B(1) | In Case applicable Whether Copy Enclosed or Not             | NA                  |
| 3B    | State/Central Pollution Control Board                       | Not Applicable      |
| 3B(1) | In Case applicable Whether Copy Enclosed or Not             | NA                  |
| 4     | Any other statutory clearance                               | Not Applicable      |

I/we Certify that above particular is correct

Authorised Signatory




P. Dinesh Reddy  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad.

Sub: Proposal for permission for laying OFC by M/s.Dinesh Engineers Limited from Km 109.000-125.000 along the road of Hyderabad-Bijapur Road Section of National Highway -163 in the State of Telangana

SPECIAL ANNEXURE FORM TO ACCOMPANY PROPOSALS SUCH AS LAYING OF Utility SERVICES on NATIONAL HIGHWAYS:

|    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                     |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1  | Type of Utility                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Optical Fibre Cable |
| 1A | In case any other at Sl. No. 1, Mention the type of utility                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | NA                  |
| 2  | Category of Utility                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Public              |
| 3  | whether license fee calculation verified by executive Engineer/ Project Director and authorised representative of Utility Service is enclosed or not                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Yes                 |
| 3A | Whether verified supporting document for calculation of licence fee like prevailing circle rate for land per unit area enclosed and checked or not                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Yes                 |
| 4  | whether performance bank guarantee calculation as per Ministry guideline dated 22.11.2016 by executive Engineer/ Project Director and authorised representative of Utility Service is enclosed or not                                                                                                                                                      | Yes                 |
| 5  | whether the proposal mention details about the various safety clearances from the respective authorities such as Directorate of Electricity, Chief Controller of Explosives, Petroleum and Explosives Safety Organization, Oil Industry Safety Directorate, State/Central Pollution Control Board and any other statutory clearances as applicable or not, | Yes                 |
| 6  | whether verified linear line diagram indicating all road feature like the Centre line, Carriageway edge, earthen shoulder, Service Road(if any), drain(if any), ROW etc. by Executive Engineer of concerned Division/ Project Director of PIU have been enclosed or not                                                                                    | Yes                 |
| 7  | whether draft license deed in original signed by Authorised representative of utility service along with two witness is enclosed or not                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Yes                 |
| 8  | whether proposal of utility service confirm the guideline issued vide Ministry letter No. RW/NH-33044/29/2018 S&R dated 22.11.2016 or not                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Yes                 |




P. Dinesh Reddy  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad.

Sub: Proposal for permission for laying OFC by M/s.Dinesh Engineers Limited from Km 109.000-125.000 along the road of Hyderabad-Bijapur Road Section of National Highway -163 in the State of Telangana

SPECIAL ANNEXURE FORM TO ACCOMPANY PROPOSALS SUCH AS LAYING OF Utility SERVICES ACROSS NATIONAL HIGHWAYS:

|         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                     |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1       | Type of Utility                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Optical Fibre Cable |
| 1A      | In case any other at Sl. No. 1, Mention the type of utility                                                                                                                                                       | NA                  |
| 2       | No. of Crossing Location                                                                                                                                                                                          | 0                   |
| 3       | Is proposed utility service underground                                                                                                                                                                           | NA                  |
| 3A      | In case yes at Sl. No. 3, Whether provision has been made for crossing either through structure or conduits specially built for that purpose                                                                      | NA                  |
| 3B      | In case yes at Sl. No. 3, whether provision has been made that casing / conduit pipe will extend from drain to drain in cuts and toe of slope to toe of slope in the fills                                        | NA                  |
| 3C      | In case yes at Sl. No. 3, undertaking by utility department that casing / conduit pipe will be designed & laid in accordance with the provision of IRC                                                            | NA                  |
| 3D      | In case yes at Sl. No. 3, whether crossing of utility service is proposed through Existing drainage structures                                                                                                    | NA                  |
| 3E      | In case yes at Sl. No. 3, whether proposed utility services will cross the National Highway on a line normal to                                                                                                   | NA                  |
| 3E(i)   | In case yes at Sl. No. 3E, crossing angle & reason for non crossing of utility service at normal line                                                                                                             | NA                  |
| 3F      | Diameter of crossing Underground utility service                                                                                                                                                                  | NA                  |
| 3G      | Underground Utility service crossing methodology                                                                                                                                                                  | NA                  |
| 3G(i)   | In case Treching Methodology at Sl. No. 3G, whether the sides of the trench in proposd proposal kept as nearly vertical as possible or not.                                                                       | NA                  |
| 3G(ii)  | In case Treching Methodology at Sl. No. 3G, whether the trench width in proposed proposal has been kept at least 30 em wider, (but not more than 60 em wider), than the outer diameter of the utility pipe or not | NA                  |
| 3G(iii) | In case Treching Methodology at Sl. No. 3G, whetner diagram indiacting the proposed treching methodology enclosed or not                                                                                          | NA                  |




P Dinesh Kumar  
25/11/2015  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH 163, Hyderabad.

Sub: Proposal for permission for laying OFC by M/s.Dinesh Engineers Limited from Km 109.000-125.000 along the road of Hyderabad-Bijapur Road Section of National Highway -163 in the State of Telangana

SPECIAL ANNEXURE FORM TO ACCOMPANY PROPOSALS SUCH AS LAYING OF Utility SERVICES ACROSS NATIONAL HIGHWAYS:

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |    |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 3G(iv) | Whether Undertaking by Authorised Representative has been enclosed or not that<br>a) Bedding shall be to a depth not less than 30 cm. It shall consist of granular material, free of lumps, clods and cobbles, and graded to yield a firm surface without sudden change in the bearing value. Unsuitable soil and rock edges shall be excavated and replaced by selected material.<br>b) The backfill shall be completed in two stages (i) Side-fill to the level of the top of the pipe (ii) Overfill to the bottom of the road crust<br>c) The side fill shall consist of granular material laid in 15 em. Layers each consolidated by mechanical tamping and controlled addition of moisture to 95% of the modified Proctor's density. Overfill shall be compacted to the same density as the material that had been removed. Consolidation by saturation or ponding will not be permitted.<br>d) The road crust shall be built to the same strength as the existing crust on either side of the trench or to thickness and specifications stipulated by the Highway Authority | NA |
| 4      | Is proposed utility service overhead line                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | NO |
| 5      | In case yes at Sl. No. 4 , whether the horizontal and vertical clearance in proposed proposal is in accordance with the IRC or not                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | NA |
| 5A     | Whether Undertaking by Authorised Representative of utility service has been enclosed or not that the horizontal and vertical clearance in accordance with the IRC shall be maintained                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | NA |




P Dinesh Reddy  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad.

Sub: Proposal for permission for laying OFC by M/s.Dinesh Engineers Limited from Km 109.000-125.000 along the road of Hyderabad-Bijapur Road Section of National Highway -163 in the State of Telangana

SPECIAL ANNEXURE FORM TO ACCOMPANY PROPOSALS SUCH AS LAYING OF UTILITY SERVICES ALONG NATIONAL HIGHWAYS:




|     |                                                                                                                                                                                 |                     |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1   | Type of Utility                                                                                                                                                                 | Optical Fibre Cable |
| 2   | In case any other at Sl. No. 1, Mention the type of utility                                                                                                                     | NA                  |
| 3   | Length of underground utility service (Km) (Total Length)                                                                                                                       | 16                  |
| 4   | In case of overhead line No. of Poles/Tower                                                                                                                                     | NA                  |
| 5   | The Stretch under consideration have provision of utility Duct                                                                                                                  | NO                  |
| 6   | In case no at Sl No. 5, Whether the utility line is close to the extreme edge of the Right of Way as possible                                                                   | Yes                 |
| 7   | In case of No at Sl. No. 6, Detailed reasons for exception                                                                                                                      | NA                  |
| 8   | Does the proposal cover the area where the road formation is in double cutting.                                                                                                 | No                  |
| 9   | Whether the top of the utility services is at least 0.6m below the ground level                                                                                                 | Yes                 |
| 10  | Will utility Services obstruct the drainage of the road land                                                                                                                    | No                  |
| 11  | Will this utility service interfere with the maintenance of NH at any time by the execution if permission approved                                                              | No                  |
| 12  | Does the proposal run over existing culverts and bridges at any place,                                                                                                          | NO                  |
| 13  | If yes, does bridge and culvert have utility ducts provision                                                                                                                    | NA                  |
| 14  | Is this utility service to lay electric cable carrying HT line                                                                                                                  | NO                  |
| 15  | a) If so, the necessary certificate by the proposal that will not have any deleterious effect on any of the bridge components roadway safety for traffic                        | NA                  |
| 16  | is it a case of restricted ROW to allow utility services beneath the carriageway of service road                                                                                | No                  |
| 16A | In case yes at Sl. No. 16, is there provision to lay the utility service in concrete ducts, which is designed to carry traffic on top & width of the duct is less than one lane | NA                  |
| 16B | In case yes at Sl. No. 16, will maintenance of the utility services interfere with the safe and smooth flow of traffic.                                                         | NA                  |
| 16C | In case yes at Sl. No. 16, is undertaking by Authorised representative of utility service to borne cost of operation and maintenance by the Licensee enclosed                   | NA                  |



P. D. A. Reddy  
28/11/2018  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad.



KM 108/000 TO KM 111/000






P. Suresh Reddy  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad.

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRE  
DINESH ENGINEERS LIMITED.

Proposed OFC :  
Centre Line :  
ROW :  
Note : 105/950 to 110/100 Four Line




KM 111/000 TO KM 113/000



P. Dure Reddy  
Executive Engineer (Roads)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad.

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRE  
DINESH ENGINEERS LIMITED.  
Proposed OFC :  
Centre Line :  
ROW :




KM 113/000 TO KM 115/000



P. Dinesh Reddy  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH Division: Hyderabad.

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRE.  
DINESH ENGINEERS LIMITED.  
Proposed OFC :  
Centre Line :  
ROW :




KM 115/000 TO KM 117/000



P. D. Reddy  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad.

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRE.  
DINESH ENGINEERS LIMITED.  
Proposed OFC : ---  
Centre Line : ---  
ROW : ---

KM 117/000 TO KM 119/000






P. Dinesh Reddy  
Executive Engineer (R&D)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRE.  
DINESH ENGINEERS LIMITED.

Proposed OFC :  
Centre Line :  
ROW :



KM 119/000 TO KM 121/000





P. Dinesh Reddy  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad.

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRE  
DINESH ENGINEERS LIMITED.

Proposed OFC :  
Centre Line :  
ROW :

KM 121/000 TO KM 123/000



*P. S. Reddy*  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad.

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRE.  
DINESH ENGINEERS LIMITED.

Proposed OFC



Centre Line

ROW


Note

122/000 to 123/000 Four Line

KM 123/000 TO KM 123/030



RAJ



*P. Dinesh Reddy*  
Executive Engineer (R&B)  
NH, Division: Hyderabad.

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRE.  
DINESH ENGINEERS LIMITED.

Proposed OFC :  
Centre Line :  
ROW :  
Proposed OFC :